WO

DATE: <u>April 18, 2011</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	DISTR	ICT OF ARIZONA	
U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Saul Moises Mendez-Burgos	Case Number: <u>11-05876M-001</u>	
In accordance and was reproof the defended	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 resented by counsel. I conclude by a prepondant pending trial in this case.	2(f), a detention hearing was held on April 18, 2011. Defendant was presenderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	INDINGS OF FACT	
\boxtimes		ited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes		ed offense, was in the United States illegally.	
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contact	cts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
		years imprisonment.	
The (at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as noted		
4		CLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendar		
2.		s will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
		S REGARDING DETENTION	
ppeal. The of the United S	defendant shall be afforded a reasonable op States or on request of an attorney for the G	Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in om persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending portunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court overnment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the fan appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
		ND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS (leliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this de of the motion for review/reconsideration to	tention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
CIVICES SUITE	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ciently in advance of the hearing before the	third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial District County allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge